



ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

May 25, 2010

Energy Purchasing Services, Inc.	:	
	:	10-0313
2009 Recertification Report	:	
	:	SERVED ELECTRONICALLY

Sandee Whited, President
 Energy Purchasing Services, Inc.
 1105 Nashville Ct.
 Naperville, IL 60540
 sandee@energysvcs.com

NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING

Dear Sir/Madam:

Notice is hereby given by the Administrative Law Judge that before a determination can be made regarding Energy Purchasing Services, Inc.'s ("EPS") April 26, 2010 "Petition for Confidential Treatment," EPS must submit a proper recertification report under 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454, "Licensure of Retail Electric Agents, Brokers and Consultants," ("Part 454"). As examples of reasonably acceptable annual recertification reports, EPS is encouraged to consider the format and content of the public version of the recertification reports associated with Docket Nos. 10-0269, 10-0283, and 10-0291. EPS is encouraged to review other recertification reports as well before resubmitting its own report. EPS shall submit a recertification report, entitled "ABC Annual 2009 Recertification Report under 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454," consistent with Part 454 in all respects no later than June 4, 2010.

Notice is also given by the Administrative Law Judge that the redacted confidential version of EPS' recertification report shall contain the actual names of each retail electric supplier with which it had any contractual relationship during the prior calendar year.

Notice is further given by the Administrative Law Judge that the redacted public version of the recertification report must reflect the entire recertification report, the only difference from the unredacted confidential version of the report being the clearly marked redacted information in the public version.

Notice is further given by the Administrative Law Judge that with regard to any information redacted in the public version of the recertification report, EPS must submit a verified compliance filing in this docket (not a letter) by June 4, 2010 explaining why the redacted information warrants confidential treatment. Specifically, EPS must explain what particular harm it fears may occur, and how that harm may occur, if the redacted information became available to the public. The compliance filing shall also explain why two years of confidential treatment is warranted, as opposed to some other time period.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Rolando
 Chief Clerk

EAR:lk
 Administrative Law Judge Albers